Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46212 San Antonio Water System RN102831864 Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

No

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Van Dyke Service Center, 254 Seale Road, San Antonio, Bexar County

Type of Operation:

Fleet refueling facility

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: August 23, 2013

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$17,563

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$3,512 Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$0 Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$14,051

Name of SEP: Medina Lake Cleanup

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Satisfactory Site/RN - Unclassified

Major Source: No

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2011

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46212 San Antonio Water System RN102831864 Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: October 25, 2012

Date(s) of NOE(s): January 17, 2013

Violation Information

- 1. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the underground storage tank ("UST") system. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test [30 Tex. Admin. Code §334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code §26.3475(a)].
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery. Specifically, inventory records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72].
- 3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery. Specifically, inventory records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated. [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:

- a. Successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on November 26, 2012;
- b. Conducted an investigation of the suspected release and implemented appropriate corrective measures on January 31, 2013; and
- c. Established and implemented a process for reporting and investigating suspected releases on March 4, 2013.

Technical Requirements:

The Order will require Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). (See SEP Attachment A)

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 46212 San Antonio Water System RN102831864 Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A SOAH Referral Date: N/A Hearing Date(s): N/A Settlement Date: N/A

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Steven Van Landingham, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-5717; Candy Garrett, Enforcement Division, MC, (512) 239-1456

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: Meaghan Bailey, SEP Coordinator, Litigation Division, MC

175, (512) 239-0205

Respondent: Robert R. Puente, President/CEO, San Antonio Water System, P.O. Box

2449, San Antonio, Texas 78298

Kenneth Pruit, General Foreman, San Antonio Water System, P.O. Box 2449, San

Antonio, Texas 78298

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Attachment A Docket Number: 2013-0323-PST-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	San Antonio Water System
Penalty Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051)
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051)
Type of SEP:	Custom
Project Name:	Medina Lake Cleanup
Location of SEP:	Bexar County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

Respondent shall remove and properly dispose of solid waste and debris from the lake bed of Medina Lake, including but not limited to 55-gallon drums, scrap tires, chemical containers, and gas cylinders. Medina Lake is a drinking water source for the southern part of Bexar County and also contributes to the environmental flow to support the downstream habitat on Medina, San Antonio, and Guadalupe River systems all the way to the bay and estuaries of the Gulf Coast. Respondent shall contract with a licensed solid waste transporter and disposal company to remove and dispose of illegally dumped solid waste embedded in the lake bed of Medina Lake (the "Project"). Respondent and contractor will coordinate with all necessary parties to gain access the lake bed. Priority consideration for the cleanup will be for public access sites. Any advertisement, including publication, related to the SEP must include the enforcement statement as stated in Section 6, Publicity, below. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including supplies, materials, and equipment rentals, as listed in Subsection C. Minimum Expenditure, Estimated Cost Schedule, below. No portion of the SEP Offset Amount shall be spent on administrative costs, including but not limited to operating costs, reporting expenses, handling of expenses, project coordination, liability, or equipment breakdowns.

Respondent's signature affixed to the attached Agreed Order certifies that Respondent has no prior commitment to perform this Project and that the SEP is being performed solely as part of the terms of settlement in this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

The low water level due to the ongoing drought affords a great opportunity to access the lake bed and remove decades of trash and debris from this drinking water source.

C. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described in Section 1, above, and comply with all other provisions of this SEP. Respondent understands that it may cost more than the SEP Offset Amount to complete the Project.

Estimated Cost Schedule

Item	Quantity	Cost	Units	Total
Contractor for trash collection and transport equipment	1	\$15,000	Each	\$15,000
Total				\$15,000

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall begin implementation of the SEP. Respondent shall have completed the SEP in its entirety within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Records and Reporting

A. Progress Report

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit a Notice of Commencement to the TCEQ describing actions performed to date to implement the Project. Within 90 days of the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit a report detailing the progress made and all actions completed on the Project during the previous 60-day period and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion of the Project within the 365-day timeframe set forth in Section 2, Performance Schedule, above. Thereafter, Respondent shall submit progress reports to the TCEQ in 90-day increments containing detailed information on all actions completed on the Project to date as set forth in the Reporting Schedule table below:

Days from Effective Order Date	Information Required
30	Notice of Commencement describing actions taken to begin project
90	Actions completed during previous 60-day period
180	Actions completed during previous 90-day period
270	Actions completed during previous 90-day period
365	Notice of SEP completion

B. Final Report

Within 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, or within 60 days after completion of SEP, whichever is earlier, Respondent shall submit a Final Report to the TCEQ, which shall include the following:

- 1. Itemized list of expenditures and total cost of the Project;
- 2. Copies of invoices, paid receipts, cleared checks or payment records corresponding to the itemized list in paragraph 3.B.1., above;
- 3. Detailed map showing specific locations of the cleanup site(s);
- 4. Dated photographs of the Project showing the collected materials;
- 5. A count on the type of items collected, i.e. number of televisions, number of tires (by type, such as passenger, truck, etc.), number of appliances (by type), gallons of paint, etc.
- 6. Manifest sufficient to show proof of proper disposal and/or recycling of the collected materials.
- 7. A certified/notarized statement of quantifiable environmental benefit;
- 8. Any additional information Respondent believes will, or that is requested by TCEQ to demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.;

C. Address

Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any additional information as requested to the following address:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

Respondent shall provide additional information as requested by TCEQ staff, and shall allow access to all records related to the SEP Offset Amount. Respondent shall also allow representatives of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by the SEP Offset Amount. This provision shall survive the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 through 4 above, the Executive Director ("ED") may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount as set forth in the attached Agreed Order.

In the event the ED determines that Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for reimbursement of a SEP, shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality," and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this Project made by or on behalf of Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

Respondent shall not include this Project in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011 Assigned 1-Feb-2013 Screening 7-Feb-2013 **PCW** 13-Feb-2013 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent San Antonio Water System Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN102831864 Facility/Site Region 13-San Antonio Major/Minor Source Minor **CASE INFORMATION** Enf./Case ID No. 46212 No. of Violations 3 Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Government/Non-Profit Yes Multi-Media Enf. Coordinator Clinton Sims EC's Team Enforcement Team 6 Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum **Maximum** \$25,000 Penalty Calculation Section TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 \$20,000 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. **Compliance History** 0.0% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$0 No adjustment for compliance history. Notes 0.0% Enhancement Culpability No Subtotal 4 \$0 The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria. Notes \$2,437 Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments Subtotal 5 0.0% Enhancement* Subtotal 6 \$0 Total EB Amounts Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount \$302 Approx. Cost of Compliance \$5,318 SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7 \$17,563 Final Subtotal OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE 0.0% Adjustment \$0 Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. Notes Final Penalty Amount \$17,563

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

\$17,563

-\$3,512

\$14,051

Final Assessed Penalty

20.0% Reduction Adjustment

20 for 20% reduction.)

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g.

Policy Revision 3 (September 2011)

PCW Revision August 3, 2011

Screening Date 7-Feb-2013

Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E

Respondent San Antonio Water System

Case ID No. 46212

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN102831864

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Clinton Sims

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%
Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations</i> were disclosed)	0	0%
	Ple	ease Enter Yes or No	7
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
	Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 2)
eat Violator (No		centage (Sub	ototal 3)
pliance Histo	ory Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Satisfactory I	Performer Adjustment Per	centage (Sub	total 7)
pliance Histo	ory Summary		
Compliance History Notes	No adjustment for compliance history.		
	Total Compliance History Adjustment Percentage (S	Subtotals 2	3. & 7)

Screening Date		Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E	PCW
Respondent Case ID No.	San Antonio Water System 46212		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN102831864		, on the same of the same
Media [Statute] Enf. Coordinator	Petroleum Storage Tank		
Violation Number			
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code 8	§ 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.34	75(a)
Violation Description	underground storage tank	letection for the pressurized piping associated ("UST") system. Specifically, the Responder ct the annual piping tightness test.	
		Bas	se Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health	Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate	Minor	
OR Actual			7
Potential		Percent 15.0%	
>> Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate	Minor	
		Percent 0.0%	
		ould be exposed to pollutants which would ex environmental receptors as a result of the vi	
			1
		Adjustment	\$21,250
			\$3,750
Violation Events			
Number of V	/iolation Events 1	365 Number of violation	days
mark only one with an x	daily weekly monthly quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violation Bas	se Penalty \$3,750
One annual		he 12-month period preceding the October 2 investigation.	5, 2012
<u> </u>	enisiine aki a liibiishi - Besatopii		<u> </u>
Good Faith Efforts to Comp	oly 25.0% Before NOV	Reduction NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	\$937
	Extraordinary	uside Clares Distribution	
	Ordinary x N/A	(mark with x)	
	The Respond	dent came into compliance on November 26, prior to the January 17, 2013 Notice of Enforcement.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$2,813
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation	Statutory Limit	t Test
Estimate	ed EB Amount	\$130 Violation Final Pen	
	This viol	lation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted i	for limits) \$2,813

Violation No.	-					Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	1.
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal		II		1 0 00	*^	_ 1_	\$0
,,pubu.				0.00	\$0	n/a	
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)							
Permit Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a n/a	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ng item (except)	n/a n/a n/a for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir	\$0 \$0 ng item (except is	n/a n/a for one-time avoid	\$0 \$0 ded costs)
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ng item (except \$0 \$0	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel espection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL	IZE [1] avoided	costs before	0.00 0.00 enterir 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUAL Si118	IZE [1] avoided		enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 ing item (except) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a n/a for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 ded costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

	Date 7-Feb-2013 dent San Antonio Water System	Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E	PCW
	No. 46212		Policy Revision 3 (September 2011) PCW Revision August 3, 2011
Reg. Ent. Reference			
	ute] Petroleum Storage Tank ator Clinton Sims		
Violation Nu		-	
Rule Ci	te(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.72	
Violation Descri		cted release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of disc cords for September 2012 indicated a suspected that was not reported.	
		Base	e Penalty \$25,000
>> Environmental, Pr	operty and Human Health	1 Matrix	111111111111111111111111111111111111111
Rei	Harm lease Major Moderate	Minor	
	Actual		
Pot	ential	Percent 0.0%	
>>Programmatic Mat			
Falsifica	ation Major Moderate	Minor Percent 5.0%	
		Fercent 3.070	
Matrix			
Notes	100% of the r	ule requirement was not met.	
		Market Constitution	
		Adjustment	\$23,750
			\$1,250
Violation Events			
	an of Ministra Franks	Too Number of violeties	**************************************
.ivumb	er of Violation Events 1	130 Number of violation	Jays
***************************************	dally		
411111111111111111111111111111111111111	weekly monthly		
mark only with ar	y one nuarterly	Violation Base	e Penalty \$1,250
	semiannual annual		
,	single event x		
[
	One singk	e event is recommended.	
Good Faith Efforts to (Comply 0.0%	6 Reduction	\$0
	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
	Extraordinary		
	Ordinary N/A	(mark with x)	
		ndent does not meet the good faith criteria for	
and the second s	Notes	this violation.	
		Violation	Subtotal \$1,250
Economic Benefit (EB)) for this violation	Statutory Limit	Test
	timated EB Amount	\$109 Violation Final Pena	
	<u> </u>		
	This vi	iolation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted fo	or limits) \$1,250

g. Ent. Reference No.	46212 RN102831864 Petroleum Stor	rage Tank					Years of
Violation No.		oge rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
						5.0	1!
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description							
		2-00-2-02-02-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-03-		-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-			
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0,00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	#100	2.0= 2012	4 M== 2012	0.00	<u>\$0</u>	n/a	<u>\$0</u>
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal	\$100	2-Oct-2013	4-Mar-2013	0.42	\$2 \$0	n/a n/a	<u>\$2</u> \$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR					stigating suspected ate is the date of co	
			costs before			for one-time avoid	
Avoided Costs	ANNUALI	ZE [1] avoided		enterii	io item (except		iea costs i
Avoided Costs	ANNUAL	ZE [1] avoided	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	ANNUALI	ZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL	ZE [1] avoided		0.00		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Disposal Personnel	ANNUAL.	ZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling	ANNUAL:	ZE [1] avoided		0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	ANNUALI	[ZE [1] avoided	2-Oct-2013	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.34	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$7	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$100	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$107
Disposal Personnel pection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]				0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Screening Date	7-Feb-2013 Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E	PCW
		n 3 (September 2011)
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.		evision August 3, 2011
	Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator		
Violation Number		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.74	
	Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of	
Violation Description	discovery. Specifically, inventory records for September 2012 indicated a suspected	
	release that was not investigated.	
	n - n - u - r	#35.000
ALL THE STATE OF T	Base Penalty	\$25,000
>> Environmental, Proper	ty and Human Health Matrix	
Release	Harm Major Moderate Minor	
OR Actual		
Potential		
>>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Major Moderate Minor	
Talsineación	Percent 0.0%	
Matrix Human health	or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels	
	protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	`
Loo Hill Allonia	<u>Unique de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de</u>	***************************************
	Adjustment \$21,250	
The state of the s	. Γ	\$3,750
	L	45,750
Violation Events		
Number of V	Violation Events 4 92 Number of violation days	
- MANAGORE	daily <u>especially</u>	
Vanantinus	weekly FEED TOTAL	
mark only one with an x	quarterly Violation Base Penalty	\$15,000
With an X	semiannual	
VACCOUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF T	annual	
VANGGARANGA	single event	
Four month	ly events are recommended from the release investigation due date of October 31,	
	2012 to the January 31, 2013 compliance date.	W
E3333311111111111111111111111111111111		
Good Faith Efforts to Com	ply Reduction	\$1,500
VIII.	Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer	
00000	Extraordinary X	
Vidita dan	Ordinary X N/A (mark with x)	
Transaction of the Control of the Co	Notes The Respondent came into compliance on January 31, 2013, after the January 17, 2013 Notice of Enforcement.	
	Violation Subtotal	\$13,500
	Name of the Control o	1 - 1 - 2
Economic Benefit (EB) for	this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimat	ed EB Amount \$63 Violation Final Penalty Total	\$13,500
	This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$13,500

Violation No.	Petroleum Sto	rage Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
Violation ito.	9					5.0	
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$ <u>0</u>	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs Other (as needed)	\$5,000		31-Jan-2013	0.00 0.25	\$0 \$63 ne date required is	n/a n/a the date the releas	\$0 \$63 e investigation
		st to investigate a	suspected rele	0.25 ase. Th	\$63	n/a the date the releas	\$63
Other (as needed)	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. Th	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con	n/a the date the releas	\$63 e investigation
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. The nal date enterin 0.00	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con ng item (except \$0	n/a the date the releas pliance. for one-time avoic \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	ase. The last date	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con ig item (except \$0 \$0	n/a the date the release appliance. For one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. The last date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$63 ne date required is is the date of coning item (except \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a the date the releas apliance. for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. The last date entering 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$63 ne date required is is the date of coning item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a the date the releas opliance. for one-time avoic \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. The last of the last	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a the date the release appliance. for one-time avoice \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. Trial date enterir 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a the date the release appliance. for one-time avoid \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel spection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	st to investigate a was o	suspected rele due, and the fir	0.25 ase. The last of the last	\$63 ne date required is is the date of con ng item (except \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n/a the date the release appliance. for one-time avoice \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63 e investigation led costs) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

To request a more accessible version of this report, please contact the TCEQ Help Desk at (512) 239-4357.



TCEQ Compliance History Report

PUBLISHED Compliance History Report for CN600529069, RN102831864, Rating Year 2012 which includes Compliance History (CH) components from September 1, 2007, through August 31, 2012

Customer, Respondent, CN600529069, San Antonio Water System Classification: SATISFACTORY

Rating: 10.07

or Owner/Operator:

RN102831864, VAN DYKE SERVICE

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Rating: ----

Regulated Entity:

CENTER 2

Repeat Violator: NO

Complexity Points: CH Group:

09 - Construction

Location:

254 SEALE RD SAN ANTONIO, TX 78219-2713, BEXAR COUNTY

TCEQ Region:

REGION 13 - SAN ANTONIO

ID Number(s):

PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION

REGISTRATION 45788

Compliance History Period: September 01, 2007 to August 31, 2012

Rating Year:

Rating Date: 09/01/2012

Date Compliance History Report Prepared: February 07, 2013

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Component Period Selected: February 07, 2008 to February 07, 2013

TCEO Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding This Compliance History.

Name: Clinton Sims

Phone: (512) 239-6933

2012

Site and Owner/Operator History:

1) Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period?

YES

2) Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period?

NO

3) If YES for #2, who is the current owner/operator?

N/A

4) If YES for #2, who was/were the prior

N/A

owner(s)/operator(s)?

5) If **YES**, when did the change(s) in owner or operator occur?

Components (Multimedia) for the Site Are Listed in Sections A - J

A. Final Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees:

N/A

B. Criminal convictions:

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events:

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

E. Written notices of violations (NOV) (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.):

A notice of violation represents a written allegation of a violation of a specific regulatory requirement from the commission to a regulated entity. A notice of violation is not a final enforcement action, nor proof that a violation has actually occurred.

N/A

F. Environmental audits:

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs): $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N/A}}$

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}/\mathsf{A}}$

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program:

J. Early compliance: N/A

Sites Outside of Texas:

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



§	BEFORE THE
§	
§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§	
§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
	<i>\$\text{\text{\$\times\tau}}\$</i>

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2013-0323-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

On _________, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding San Antonio Water System ("Respondent") under the authority of Tex. Water Code chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent together stipulate that:

- 1. The Respondent owns and operates a fleet refueling facility at 254 Seale Road in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission
- 3. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 22, 2013.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Seventeen Thousand Five Hundred Sixty-Three Dollars (\$17,563) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). Three Thousand Five Hundred Twelve Dollars (\$3,512) of the administrative penalty is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's

timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty. Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051) shall be conditionally offset by the Respondent's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP").

- 7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director and the Respondent agree on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to final approval in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(a).
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Successfully conducted the annual piping tightness test on November 26, 2012;
 - b. Conducted an investigation of the suspected release and implemented appropriate corrective measures on January 31, 2013; and
 - c. Established and implemented a process for reporting and investigating suspected releases on March 4, 2013.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

- 1. Failed to provide release detection for the pressurized piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 25, 2012. Specifically, the Respondent did not conduct the annual piping tightness test.
- 2. Failed to report a suspected release to the TCEQ within 24 hours of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.72, as documented during an investigation

San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO. 2013-0323-PST-E Page 3

conducted on October 25, 2012. Specifically, inventory records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not reported.

3. Failed to investigate a suspected release of regulated substance within 30 days of discovery, in violation of 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.74, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 25, 2012. Specifically, inventory records for September 2012 indicated a suspected release that was not investigated.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: San Antonio Water System, Docket No. 2013-0323-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The Respondent shall implement and complete a SEP in accordance with Tex. Water Code § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above, Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that the Respondent implements the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. The Respondent's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty assessed shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes

San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO. 2013-0323-PST-E Page 4

aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.

- The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which 7. together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier.

San Antonio Water System DOCKET NO. 2013-0323-PST-E Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Parm Policie For the Executive Director	412)14 Date
agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf	I the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to of the entity indicated below my signature, and I therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in naterially relying on such representation.
 and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount A negative impact on compliance history Greater scrutiny of any permit application Referral of this case to the Attorney additional penalties, and/or attorney feed Increased penalties in any future enforced 	y; ons submitted; General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, es, or to a collection agency; ement actions; neral's Office of any future enforcement actions; by law.
Signature	<u>6-24-13</u> Date
Name (Printed or typed) Authorized Representative of San Antonio Water System	Date $\frac{6-24-13}{\text{Date}}$ Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenue Operations Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.



Attachment A Docket Number: 2013-0323-PST-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	San Antonio Water System	
Penalty Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051)	
SEP Offset Amount:	Fourteen Thousand Fifty-One Dollars (\$14,051)	
Type of SEP:	Custom	
Project Name:	Medina Lake Cleanup	
Location of SEP:	Bexar County	

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for Respondent to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The SEP Offset Amount is set forth above and such offset is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

Respondent shall remove and properly dispose of solid waste and debris from the lake bed of Medina Lake, including but not limited to 55-gallon drums, scrap tires, chemical containers, and gas cylinders. Medina Lake is a drinking water source for the southern part of Bexar County and also contributes to the environmental flow to support the downstream habitat on Medina, San Antonio, and Guadalupe River systems all the way to the bay and estuaries of the Gulf Coast. Respondent shall contract with a licensed solid waste transporter and disposal company to remove and dispose of illegally dumped solid waste embedded in the lake bed of Medina Lake (the "Project"). Respondent and contractor will coordinate with all necessary parties to gain access the lake bed. Priority consideration for the cleanup will be for public access sites. Any advertisement, including publication, related to the SEP must include the enforcement statement as stated in Section 6, Publicity, below. The SEP will be performed in accordance with all federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations.

Respondent shall use the SEP Offset Amount only for the direct cost of implementing the Project, including supplies, materials, and equipment rentals, as listed in Subsection C. Minimum Expenditure, Estimated Cost Schedule, below. No portion of the SEP Offset Amount shall be spent on administrative costs, including but not limited to operating costs, reporting expenses, handling of expenses, project coordination, liability, or equipment breakdowns.

Respondent's signature affixed to the attached Agreed Order certifies that Respondent has no prior commitment to perform this Project and that the SEP is being performed solely as part of the terms of settlement in this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

The low water level due to the ongoing drought affords a great opportunity to access the lake bed and remove decades of trash and debris from this drinking water source.

C. Minimum Expenditure

Respondent shall spend at least the SEP Offset Amount to complete the project described in Section 1, above, and comply with all other provisions of this SEP. Respondent understands that it may cost more than the SEP Offset Amount to complete the Project.

Estimated Cost Schedule

Item	Quantity	Cost	Units	Total
Contractor for trash collection and transport equipment	1	\$15,000	Each	\$15,000
Total				\$15,000

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall begin implementation of the SEP. Respondent shall have completed the SEP in its entirety within 365 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order.

3. Records and Reporting

A. Progress Report

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit a Notice of Commencement to the TCEQ describing actions performed to date to implement the Project. Within 90 days of the effective date of this Agreed Order, Respondent shall submit a report detailing the progress made and all actions completed on the Project during the previous 60-day period and setting forth a schedule for achieving completion of the Project within the 365-day timeframe set forth in Section 2, Performance Schedule, above. Thereafter, Respondent shall submit progress reports to the TCEQ in 90-day increments containing detailed information on all actions completed on the Project to date as set forth in the Reporting Schedule table below:

Days from Effective Order Date	Information Required
30	Notice of Commencement describing actions taken to begin project
90	Actions completed during previous 60-day period
180	Actions completed during previous 90-day period
270	Actions completed during previous 90-day period
365	Notice of SEP completion

B. Final Report

Within 365 days after the effective date of the Agreed Order, or within 60 days after completion of SEP, whichever is earlier, Respondent shall submit a Final Report to the TCEQ, which shall include the following:

- 1. Itemized list of expenditures and total cost of the Project;
- 2. Copies of invoices, paid receipts, cleared checks or payment records corresponding to the itemized list in paragraph 3.B.1., above;
- 3. Detailed map showing specific locations of the cleanup site(s);
- 4. Dated photographs of the Project showing the collected materials;
- 5. A count on the type of items collected, i.e. number of televisions, number of tires (by type, such as passenger, truck, etc.), number of appliances (by type), gallons of paint, etc.
- 6. Manifest sufficient to show proof of proper disposal and/or recycling of the collected materials.
- 7. A certified/notarized statement of quantifiable environmental benefit;
- 8. Any additional information Respondent believes will, or that is requested by TCEQ to demonstrate compliance with this Attachment A.;

C. Address

Respondent shall submit all SEP reports and any additional information as requested to the following address:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Additional Information and Access

Respondent shall provide additional information as requested by TCEQ staff, and shall allow access to all records related to the SEP Offset Amount. Respondent shall also allow representatives of the TCEQ access to the site of any work being financed in whole or in part by the SEP Offset Amount. This provision shall survive the termination of this Agreed Order.

5. Failure to Fully Perform

If Respondent does not perform its obligations under this Attachment A, including full expenditure of the SEP Offset Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Sections 2 through 4 above, the Executive Director ("ED") may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Offset Amount as set forth in the attached Agreed Order.

In the event the ED determines that Respondent failed to fully implement and complete the Project, Respondent shall remit payment for all or a portion of the SEP Offset Amount, as determined by the ED, and as set forth in the attached Agreed Order. After receiving notice of failure to complete the SEP, Respondent shall include the docket number of the attached Agreed Order and a note that the enclosed payment is for reimbursement of a SEP, shall make the check payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality," and shall mail it to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Litigation Division Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 175 P.O. Box 13087 Austin, Texas 78711-3087

6. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this Project made by or on behalf of Respondent must include a clear statement that **the Project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ**. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

7. Clean Texas Program

Respondent shall not include this Project in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

8. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP Offset Amount identified in this Attachment A and in the attached Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as a SEP for Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.